

To: Herrera, Angeles[Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov]
Cc: Gene Seidlitz (gseidlitz@blm.gov)[gseidlitz@blm.gov]; Ball, Harold[Ball.Harold@epa.gov]
From: Greg Lovato
Sent: Sat 1/28/2017 12:22:00 AM
Subject: RE: Anaconda January 30 Meeting
January 30 2017 EPA BLM NDEP Anaconda DRAFT agenda v2.docx

Revised agenda attached.

-----Original Message-----

From: Herrera, Angeles [mailto:Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2017 1:11 PM
To: Greg Lovato
Cc: Gene Seidlitz (gseidlitz@blm.gov); Ball, Harold
Subject: RE: Anaconda January 30 Meeting

I'm sorry for the confusion. The agenda you proposed for Monday's meeting includes the topics we also would like to cover with ARC but we can develop that agenda on Monday during our meeting. FYI: Jim is calling his peer with ARC, NDEP and BLM to give them a heads up that DOJ will participate on the fed covenants discussion on Tuesday am.

From: Greg Lovato [mailto:glovato@ndep.nv.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2017 11:31 AM
To: Herrera, Angeles <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>
Cc: Gene Seidlitz (gseidlitz@blm.gov) <gseidlitz@blm.gov>; Ball, Harold <Ball.Harold@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Anaconda January 30 Meeting

Hi Angeles,

My agenda was only for the Monday EPA/NDEP/BLM meeting. Do we want this to be the same agenda for Tuesday with ARC?

Greg

From: Herrera, Angeles [mailto:Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2017 11:30 AM
To: Greg Lovato
Cc: Gene Seidlitz (gseidlitz@blm.gov); Ball, Harold
Subject: RE: Anaconda January 30 Meeting

As we discussed, the only change we would suggest is the language of agenda item 2a : "Federal Covenants Timing." This is a minor point, but this language suggests that federal covenants are in the mix and the only issue is when they will be effective. We suggest "ARC's Request for Federal Covenants" as replacement language. Otherwise, no suggestions.

The proposed agenda is ambitious. Should we consider extending the meeting to 1pm? Also, Jim confirmed that Steve O'Rourke, from DOJ is available to participate in a portion of the meeting. Should we rework the agenda to include this addition/separate meeting? I think Steve is ok with the large group discussion but if we want the attorneys to separate, we can rework the agenda to reflect that?

Thanks.

Angeles

From: Greg Lovato [mailto:glovato@ndep.nv.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2017 3:27 PM
To: Herrera, Angeles <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>; jrcollins@ndep.nv.gov; 'MFairbank@ag.nv.gov' <MFairbank@ag.nv.gov>; 'FPerdomo@ag.nv.gov' <FPerdomo@ag.nv.gov>; 'gseidlit@blm.gov' <gseidlit@blm.gov>; 'rthomas@blm.gov' <rthomas@blm.gov>; 'bamme@blm.gov' <bamme@blm.gov>; Ball, Harold <Ball.Harold@epa.gov>; Collins, Jim <Collins.Jim@epa.gov>; Minor, Dustin <Minor.Dustin@epa.gov>; Maldonado, Lewis <Maldonado.Lewis@epa.gov>; Seter, David <Seter.David@epa.gov>; Rodriguez, Dante <Rodriguez.Dante@epa.gov>; nathalie.doherty@sol.doi.gov <mailto:nathalie.doherty@sol.doi.gov>
Subject: Anaconda January 30 Meeting

Please find attached a draft agenda for our meeting next Monday.

EPA folks, who should we ask security at 75 Hawthorne to notify when we arrive? It might be good for NDEP and BLM to plan to arrive at the building at 2:45 so we can get through security and start at 3.

See you all next week,

Greg

Greg Lovato, Deputy Administrator

NV Division of Environmental Protection

P: 775-687-9373

E: glovato@ndep.nv.gov <mailto:glovato@ndep.nv.gov>

cid:image003.jpg@01D067BA.4B3029D0